

Project Name	MFFP: AMP 7 (Peatland Restoration)
Project Manager	Matt Scott - Campbell
Date	March-20
Version Control	V1

Appendix 1

Date Raised	Risk Owner	Description of Risk	Impact on Project / Programme	Impact (I)	Probability (P)	Rating (I x P)	Risk Rating	Mitigating Actions	Target Resolution Date	Action Owner	Date Last Updated	Status
01/02/2020	Water Companies / MFFP/PDNPA	Amp 7 Contracts are not in place between water companies and PDNPA (MFFP) by the end of March 2020	£1.5m capital investment into the peatlands of Upper Derwent Catchment not delivered	3	1	3	Low	Engage with Water Companies proactively managing the timescales. Plan RMM and required committee in line with key dates	31/03/2020	MSC	02/03/2020	Open
01/09/2019	Water Companies / MFFP/PDNPA	Relationship and delivery mechanism between Water Companies and PDNPA/MFFP in the event of no match funding grant opportunities being available for AMP 7. How will Water company outcomes be delivered through the partnership going forward if that was the case	Inability to confirm working arrangements for AMP7 possible delay to start of project. Delay/reduction to outcomes	3	1	3	Low	Early engagement with water companies to plan a joint approach to future work in the event of no opportunity to deliver AMP7 outcomes as match funding with major structural bid. Development of new approach for working in AMP7 as a contingency for no match funding opportunity with a major grant.	31/03/2020	MSC	02/03/2020	Open
01/09/2019	Water Companies / MFFP/PDNPA	Inability to define exact scope of works prior to detailed planning through GIS and ground survey. How to progress to agreeing MFFP/PDNPA working relationship with Water Companies for the AMP7 proposed delivery without prior definition of exact conservation works to be delivered?	Potential inability to confirm future working arrangements. Loss of time / impact on conservation outcomes in the Upper Derwent Catchment PDNPA Management Plan adversely affected/ undeliverable	3	1	3	Low	Working collaboratively MFFP to develop with water company partners an approach that manages the requirement to confirm the scope of the works as an iterative process through AMP7. MFFP has also advocated for this approach with the EA who oversee the water company AMP. Seek to establish a Partnering Agreement relationship for AMP 7 that embeds sufficient flexibility within the project to develop plans for the AMP period that is agreeable to both water company partners and PDNPA. (This represents a move away from agreeing specific deliverables prior to the start of the project and makes the definition of the deliverables one of the initial project objectives that will be progressed through collaborative working with water company partners.)	31/03/2020	MSC	02/03/2020	Open
01/09/2019	Water Companies / MFFP/PDNPA	Inability to define specific KPIs and effective objective setting prior to detailed planning through GIS and ground survey	Inability to agree meaningful KPIs to be included in future Partnering Agreements	2	1	2	Low	As part of moving towards an iterative process of defining the conservation works actions within AMP7 projects, A high level area based (hectares) KPI will be established at the Agreement stage. This will be non-prescriptive about the associated deliverables to meet the KPI outlining that these will be defined collaboratively through detailed planning at the start of the project and overseen by the project steering group.	31/03/2020	MSC	02/03/2020	Open

01/09/2019	Water Companies / MFFP/PDNPA	Possibly Restrictive KPI setting leading to the potential for PDNPA being in breach of Partner Agreement if targets can't be met.	Inability to deliver to the required scope.	2	1	2	Low	<p>All KPI and deliverable setting will be done in collaboration between Water company and MFFP.</p> <p>Partnering Agreement approach to be taken to PDNPA working with water company's which will include an iterative process to defining the scope of works through the AMP period after an initial agreement on an overall area based KPI (hectares).</p> <p>MFFP assess an early stage the proposed area based KPIs and the project timescales and budget to ensure that the target is achievable.</p> <p>MFFP to fully impact the area KPI against the forward MFFP programme of works to ensure there is capacity over the required period.</p>	31/03/2020	MSC	02/03/2020	Open
01/09/2019	Water Companies / MFFP/PDNPA	<p>Inability to evidence deliverables / outcomes</p> <p>Prior agreement required on Monitoring arrangements</p>	Inability to evidence outcomes could cause issues during delivery.	2	1	2	Low	<p>MFFP to engage water company partners and agree monitoring requirements to include both compliance criteria to sign-off against the deliverable to be agreed and also in a more detailed academic sense where research outcomes may also constitute a project deliverable/KPI.</p>	31/03/2020	MSC	02/03/2020	Open
01/09/2019	MFFP	<p>Projects fail to deliver on cost, quality and timescale aspirations</p> <p>Poor project delivery leading to; Reputational risk. Contractual commitment failure.</p>	<p>Reputational risk to MFFP/PDNPA</p> <p>landscape doesn't receive vital conservation works</p>	2	1	2	Low	<p>MFFP have well defined and established Programme and Project Management protocols in place that will be utilised in the delivery of this project.</p> <p>MFFP Project managers are Prince 2 trained</p> <p>All the proposed and potential works to be delivered within the scope of this project fall completely within MFFP established areas of expertise.</p>	31/03/2020	MSC	02/03/2020	Open

Date the risk was identified and added to the RAD log	
Enter the name of the individual who is accountable for the Risk	
Describe each risk clearly and succinctly, identifying the root cause of each one	
Detail Project Delivery impacts.	
1	Insignificant / Negligible
2	Moderate
3	Critical / Catastrophic
1	Very Unlikely / Rare
2	Possible
3	Almost Certain
Rating is calculated by impact multiplied by probability	
Enter risk mitigation and describe how the mitigation will take place	
Target date for completion of the mitigation action	
Person responsible for implementing the mitigation action	
Date of last update provided on the Risk	
Status - closed ,reducing, increasing, or no change	